

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/538,543	03/30/2000	Edward A. Hubbard	BAY3:002	8287
7590 12/23/2003			EXAMINER	
KELLY K. KORDZIK WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P.C			COULTER, KENNETH R	
POST OFFICE BOX 50784			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1201 MAIN STREET DALLAS, TX 75250-0784			2141	12
•		DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Andicanta				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/538,543	HUBBARD, EDWARD A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Kenneth R Coulter	2141				
Period fo		pears on the cover sheet with the (correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. of period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repto period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 L</u>	December 2003.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-72 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language procedures the company of the foreign language procedures as a claim for domestic foreign was included in the first sentence of the company of the foreign language procedures as a claim for domestic foreign was included in the first sentence of the company of the company of the first sentence of the company of the first sentence of the company o	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicate to have been received in Applicate to have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(ast sentence of the specification of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(ast priority	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Раде 2

بر. شار در گهران

1 . . Day jam

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by <u>London</u> (Popcorn
 A paradigm for Global-Computing; June 1998)
- 2.1 Regarding claim 1, <u>London</u> discloses a method of operating a distributed parallel processing system, comprising:

providing a server system (pp. 8 - 14);

coupling the server system to a network, the network being connectable to distributed devices (pp. 8 - 14);

providing an incentive to couple the distributed devices to the server system through the network so that the distributed devices are capable of performing workloads for the distributed parallel processing system (pp. 8 - 14);

Application/Control Number: 09/538,543

Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

identifying a workload capability factor for a plurality of the distributed devices (pp. 8 - 14); and

utilizing the identified workload capability factor within the server system (pp. 8 - 14).

- 2.2 Per claims 2 13, <u>London</u> teaches the particulars involving rewards, contests, monetary payments (p. 12; "lottery").
- 2.3 Regarding claims 14 28, <u>London</u> discloses the particulars involving capabilities (pp. 8 14) and network (pp. 8 14).
- 2.4 Per claims 29 72, the rejection of claims 1 28 under 35 USC 102(b) (paragraphs 2.1 2.3 above) applies fully.

krc

December 15, 2003